

| | | | |
|---|-------------------------------|-----------------------------------|--|
| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. 09/665,205 | Applicant(s) RACIBORSKI ET AL. | |
| | Examiner Kristie Shingles | Art Unit 2141 | |

All Participants:
Status of Application: ABANDONED

 (1) Kristie Shingles, Examiner.

(3) _____.

 (2) Thomas Bethea.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 15 September 2006
Time: 2:00pm
Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.
Rejection(s) discussed:

n/a

Claims discussed:

n/a

Prior art documents discussed:


n/a

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Examiner informed Atty of that the period for response to the Non-Final Action mailed 5/20/05 has expired. Atty was unaware of the expiration and expressed intent to file a petition for revival.

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)